

January 19, 2009

L-PI-08-109 10 CFR 50.73

U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Unit 2 Docket 50-306 License No. DPR-60

<u>LER 2-08-01, Unanalyzed Condition Due to Both Trains of Component Cooling Being Susceptible to a Postulated High Energy Line Break, Supplement 1</u>

Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation (NSPM) herewith encloses Licensee Event Report (LER) 2-08-01. After further review of the 10 CFR 50.73 reporting criteria under which this LER is reportable, NSPM determined this LER should have been reported per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v) as a safety system functional failure.

Summary of Commitments

This letter contains no new commitments and no changes to existing commitments. This supplement also closes out the commitment made in the original LER to supplement this LER if the causal evaluation uncovered any significant new information on the cause or any significant additional corrective actions.

Michael D. Wadley

Site Vice President

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant

Northern States Power Company - Minnesota

Document Control Desk Page 2

Enclosure

CC:

Administrator, Region III, USNRC Project Manager, Prairie Island, USNRC Resident Inspector, Prairie Island, USNRC Department of Commerce, State of Minnesota

ENCLOSURE

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2-08-01 SUPPLEMENT 1

NRC FOR	M 366		US	NUCL	ĒΔR	REGULATO	RY C	OMMISSIO	N	APPROVED	3Y (OMB NO. 3150-	0104		EXPI	RES: 08	3/31/2010	
NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (9-2007)							Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and											
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to										
(See reverse for required number of								the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150- 0066), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used										
digits/characters for each block)									to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to									
1. FACILITY NAME									respond to, the information collection. 2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE									
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Unit 2									05000306 1 of 3						of 3			
4. TITLE Unanalyzed Condition Due to Both Trains of Component Cooling Susceptible to a Postulated High Energy Line Break																		
5. E	VENT	DATE	.		6. LEI	RNUMBER		7. R	DATE	8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED								
MONTH	TH DAY YEAR			YEAR	SI	EQUENTIAL RE		MONTH	DA	Y YEAR		ACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER		
7	31		2008	2008	_	001 -	01	01	19	2009	FACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBE					CET NUMBER		
9. OPEI	RATIN	G M	ODE			11. THIS REF	ORT	IS SUBMITT	ED PU	IRSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check all that apply)								
1				20.2201(b)					.2203(a)(3)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)		
•					2201(d)	20.2203		a)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)					
				20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(• •	_		i)(2)(ii)(B)		-				
10. PO\	MED I		'1	20.2203(a)(2)(i)			50.36(c)(_	50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)				
10. PO	WEKL	EVE	:L	20.2203(a)(2)(ii)			50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(x) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 73.71(a)(4)							
100				20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(2) 50.46(a)(3)(ii)			_	50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 73.71(a)(4) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) 73.71(a)(5)							
				20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)			_	50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			(0)				
=				20.2203(a)(2)(vi)				(2)(i)(B)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A					
										ACT FOR TH	_					« стерии)		
NAME Jeff Kivi, Principal Regulatory Compliance Engineer								TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 651.388.1121										
l		Ė	13	. COM	PLET	E ONE LINE	FOF	REACH CO	MPO	NENT FAILU	RE	DESCRIBED	IN THIS R	EPO	RT			
CAUSE SYSTEM				COMPONENT FACTURER			REPORTABI TO EPIX	E [CAUSE		SYSTEM COMPONE		ENT	MAN NT FACTU		REPORTABLE TO EPIX		
3,002																		
			14. SL	JPPLE	VIEN1	AL REPOR	EXI	PECTED				15. EXPE		MON	ITH	DAY	YEAR	
	-					DSUBMISS				⊚ NO		DATE						
	-									written lines)							_	
On Ju	ıly 31	, 20	008, P	rairie	Isla	nd Nuclea	ar G	eneratin	g Pla	ant (PING	P)	Unit 2 wa	s opera	ting	at 100) per	cent	
power	r. At	134	45 CD	l Pra	irle i	sland sta	III de	eclared t	oth	trains of the	ne Vic	Unit 2 cor	nponen	(CO	oling v	vater in th	(CC)	
system inoperable due to the discovery that a postulated Unit 2 high energy line break (HELB) in the Turbine																		
Building could fail a CC line that would affect both trains of the Unit 2 CC system. With both trains of CC declared inoperable, PINGP staff entered Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operability																		
(LCO) 3.0.3. PINGP staff isolated the CC line in the Turbine Building at 1612 on July 31, 2008, which																		
						le status.												
l	_					,				_								
The as-found condition was an original design issue uncovered during walkdowns in support of turbine building CC system seismic qualification. The planned corrective action is to modify the cooling source to the																		
												CC lines						
	ab all	ių t	ai bii ie	Juliu	a .	Jampie od		13 10 1010	Jule	or ominine							···· 3 ·	
ľ																		

NRC FORM 366A (9-2007)	LICENSEE EVI	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	1. FACILITY NAME	JATION SHEET		6. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE
Prairie Island Nu	clear Generating Plant Unit 2	05000306	YEAR 2008	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER - 001	REV NO - 01	2 of 3

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On July 31, 2008, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (PINGP) Unit 2 was operating at 100 percent power. At 1345 CDT Prairie Island staff declared both trains of the Unit 2 component cooling water¹ (CC) system inoperable due to the discovery that a postulated Unit 2 high energy line break (HELB) in the Turbine Building could fail a CC line that would affect both trains of the Unit 2 CC system. With both trains of CC declared inoperable, PINGP staff entered Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition for Operability (LCO) 3.0.3. PINGP staff isolated the CC line in the Turbine Building at 1612 on July 31, 2008, which returned Unit 2 CC to operable status. Unit 2 remained at 100 percent power throughout the event.

EVENT ANALYSIS

The CC system is required to mitigate a HELB, but the CC line that was susceptible to a Unit 2 HELB supplies cooling to Unit 2 sample coolers. While this line can remain isolated at power, there is no automatic isolation of this line (e.g., on a safety injection signal) and any CC inventory loss out of this line would eventually affect both trains of the CC system. This condition was identified in support of turbine building CC system seismic qualification. Turbine building loads can be lined up to either unit CC system, but were aligned to Unit 2 CC at the time of discovery.

This condition was a susceptibility of two trains of Unit 2 CC to a consequential failure from a postulated Unit 2 HELB. A postulated HELB break location was identified that would have been expected to break a nearby CC line to Unit 2 sample coolers. Since a Unit 2 HELB could directly result in the loss of both trains of Unit 2 CC (a system that is required to meet the single failure criterion), in this configuration the Unit 2 CC system did not meet the single failure criterion. Thus, this condition is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) as an unanalyzed condition. Had a postulated HELB occurred at the specific break location, a consequential CC line break would reasonably be expected to have occurred. Thus, this condition represented a safety system functional failure, so this event is reportable per 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(v).

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

This condition resulted in a potential for a postulated HELB at a specific break location to cause a break in a CC line. There were no actual consequences to the health and safety of the public as a result of this condition. Once a leak in the CC system is isolated or repaired, the system can be refilled and returned to service. Loss of CC due to a pipe rupture is addressed in plant operating procedures, which provide operators immediate and subsequent action steps to mitigate the consequences of a event. However, depending upon the scenario being postulated, it may not be possible to recover the CC system in time to mitigate the postulated event. The NSPM evaluation of risk significance of the as-found condition is ongoing and will be shared with the NRC as part of the significance determination process.

¹ EIIS System Code: CC

NRC FORM 366A (9-2007) LICE	NSEE EVE	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
CONTINUATION SHEET										
1. FACILITY NAME		2. DOCKET NUMBER		6. LER NUMBER	551	3. PAGE				
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Pla	nt Unit 2	05000306	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NO NO	3 of 3				
			2008	- 001	- 01					

CAUSE

The as-found condition was the result of an original design issue that was uncovered during walkdowns in support of turbine building CC system seismic qualification. However, Prairie Island staff could have identified this condition earlier. Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation (NSPM) conducted a causal evaluation associated with the as-found condition. This apparent cause evaluation (ACE) looked at why the corrective action process was not used to evaluate operability when this issue was identified in July of 2006. The cause of this oversight was attributed to a lack of operational focus on the part of Engineering.

A subsequent ACE was initiated to evaluate why a number of other previous opportunities to identify HELB and CC system interaction were missed. A vendor study of January 2008 documented an issue with a postulated HELB of a feedwater line damaging a CC line such that the system would be drained in a matter of minutes. A June 2007 draft of this study contained the same issue. Furthermore, the study referred to a calculation approved in 1995 that had enough information to provide an opportunity to identify this issue. Finally, the calculation referred to a 1987 study that also recognized that there were loads in the turbine building, but failed to identify the potential vulnerability to a HELB in the turbine building. That ACE concluded the cause of the oversight was less than adequate procedure use and adherence on the part of Engineering due to cognitive personnel error.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

The susceptible CC line in the Turbine Building was isolated in the Auxiliary Building.

The planned corrective action is to modify the cooling source to the cold lab and turbine building sample coolers to reroute or eliminate CC lines from the Turbine Building.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

Review of LERs for Unit 1 and Unit 2 since 2006 found no similar events.